

1. First, have a look at these Plato's allegories:
  - (a) allegory of the cave (or den): *The Republic*, 514a–520a ([the very beginning of the Book VII](#); [Polish translation](#)),
  - (b) chariot allegory: *Phaedrus*, 245c–249d ([here](#) look for "a pair of winged horses and a charioteer" and then for "I divided each soul into three"; [Polish translation](#)).
2. Characterise the basic assumptions of the rationalist approach in moral psychology.
3. Do the same for the social intuitionist model. Then describe the model in details.
4. Find out more about experimental setups employed by Kohlberg and Turiel *et al.*. Examine them (critically, perhaps).
5. Is there – in your opinion – any kind of a fundamental difference between Kohlberg's 'Heinz the robber' story and Haidt *et al.*'s eating one's dead pet dog and the remaining ones?
6. What does it mean: "morally dumbfounded"?
7. Examine (again, critically), the definitions of moral judgement, moral reasoning and moral intuition.
8. Which of the four reasons (to doubt the causal importance of reason) are most compelling from your point of view?
9. Where the moral intuitions come from?
10. Characterise the five foundations addressed in the Moral Foundations Theory.

#### Additional materials

- Jesse Graham *et al.* (2009) "Liberals and Conservatives Rely on Different Sets of Moral Foundations", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 96, No. 5, 1029–1046 (available via Ebsco) and Haidt's [TED talk](#),
- Bert Musschenga (2013) "The promises of moral foundations theory", *Journal of Moral Education*, Vol. 42, No. 3, 330–345 (available via Ebsco).
- Jesse Graham *et al.*, [Moral Foundations Theory: On the Advantages of Moral Pluralism Over Moral Monism](#),
- <https://www.moralfoundations.org/>.

#### Additionally additional materials

- Tal Eyal *et al.* (2008) "[Judging near and distant virtue and vice](#)", *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, Vol. 44, 1204–1209.
- Iris L. Žeželj, and Biljana R. Jokić (2014) "[Replication of Experiments Evaluating Impact of Psychological Distance on Moral Judgment](#)", *Social Psychology*, Vol. 45, No. 3, 223–231.